

Harvey R. Miller
 Stephen Karotkin
 Joseph H. Smolinsky
 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
 767 Fifth Avenue
 New York, New York 10153
 Telephone: (212) 310-8000
 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors and
 Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
	:
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, et al.,	: 09-50026 (REG)
f/k/a General Motors Corp., et al.	:
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
	:
-----X	

**DEBTORS' SUR-REPLY TO THE MOTION OF
 DAVE SHOSTACK FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY**

TO THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. GERBER
 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Motors Liquidation Company (f/k/a General Motors Corporation) (“**MLC**”) and its affiliated debtors, as debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “**Debtors**”), hereby submit this sur-reply to the Motion of Dave Shostack (“**Movant**”) seeking relief from the automatic stay (ECF No. 8161) (the “**Motion**”). In support hereof, the Debtors respectfully represent:

Preliminary Statement

1. Movant seeks relief from the automatic stay to proceed with a postpetition lawsuit (the “**State Case**”) he commenced *pro se* against “General Motors Holding, General

Motors Corporation, and AC Delco Inc.” (collectively, the “**Defendants**”) in the Second District Court of Suffolk County, New York on December 31, 2009 in violation of the automatic stay.¹ The State Case arises from Movant’s May 2009 purchase of a used 2004 Chevrolet Malibu, and the Complaint seeks damages based upon the Defendants’ “failure to comply with their obligations under the applicable express and implied warranties.” (Opp., Ex. A, ¶ 51.)

2. On February 3, 2011, Movant filed an Affidavit in Opposition to Debtors’ Opposition (ECF No. 9129) (the “**Reply**”) asserting for the first time that “Plaintiff[’s] claims are post petition claims meaning they took place months after the bankruptcy and are new claims” and therefore claiming that “since Plaintiff[’s] claim was a post petition claim . . . it was okay] for Plaintiff to proceed in State Court without first lifting the stay.” (Reply ¶¶ 13, 17.) The Debtors file this sur-reply to address this assertion raised for the first time in the Movant’s Reply.

Relevant Background

3. The relevant facts are set forth in detail in the Debtors’ Opposition and are incorporated herein by reference. Certain relevant facts are set forth below for the convenience of the Court.

4. On June 1, 2009 (the “**Commencement Date**”), each of the Debtors commenced a voluntary case under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”). The commencement of the Debtors’ chapter 11 cases triggered the automatic stay of all litigation against the Debtors pursuant to section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code.

¹ The complaint (the “**Complaint**”) in the State Case is annexed as Exhibit “A” to the Debtors’ Opposition to the Motion of Dave Shostack for Relief from the Automatic Stay (ECF No. 8529) (the “**Opposition**”). The Debtors’ arguments are set forth in detail in the Opposition and are incorporated herein by reference.

5. On December 31, 2009, Movant filed the Complaint in the State Case. In the Complaint, Movant states that he purchased a used 2004 Chevrolet Malibu Classic from a United State Government (GSA) fleet sale on or about May 7, 2009. (Opp., Ex. A, ¶ 12.)

6. In the Complaint, Movant acknowledges that he discovered the alleged defects in the 2004 Chevrolet Malibu during May 2009, including the following: “Overheating problem – car runs hot when sitting in traffic, temperature gage [*sic*] goes 2 lines past halfway mark, defective calipers and brake hoses, defective rotors, defective proportioning valve (defective antilock brake system), defective stabilizer bar brushings, defective lower control arms, defective driver side seat recliner, Water leak in trunk, bell odor coming through a/c vents, defective catalytic converter.” (Opp., Ex. A, ¶ 14.)

7. In the Complaint, Movant claims to have taken the 2004 Chevrolet Malibu Classic to a Chevrolet dealership for service on or about October 10, 2009 at which time “the service manager and or mechanic [at the dealership] noted the following problems: defective brake calipers and defective brake hoses and a leaking front axle seal on the front left side.” (Opp., Ex. A, ¶¶ 19, 20.)

8. In the Complaint, Movant states that he obtained estimates for transmission repairs on October 21, 2009 and October 23, 2009 totaling \$3,084.51. (Opp., Ex. A., ¶¶ 26, 27.)

9. On or about December 9, 2010, Movant filed the Motion seeking to proceed with the State Case against MLC. The Motion does not assert a postpetition claim.

10. On January 10, 2011, Debtors filed the Opposition in response to the arguments set forth in the Motion.

11. On February 3, 2011, Movant filed the Reply asserting for the first time that Movant's claim was a postpetition claim and that the State Case does not require relief from the automatic stay.

12. On February 11, 2011, Movant filed an administrative proof of claim (Claim No. 70874) in the amount of \$3,045.31 plus interest and Court costs for the "potential costs associated with [a] defective transmission and [a] rental car." Movant's administrative proof of claim is attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"** (the "**Administrative Claim**").

13. As set forth in paragraph 12 of the Opposition, prior to filing the Opposition, in an effort to avoid expending the estates' limited resources litigating the Motion concerning a small potential claim, the Debtors contacted Movant to offer him an allowed general unsecured claim in the amount of \$3,085. The Debtors were unable to reach a settlement with the Movant when he insisted on an administrative priority. Without regard to the underlying merits of the claim, the Debtors remain prepared at this time to offer Movant an allowed general unsecured claim in the amount of \$3,085 to consensually resolve the Motion and all of Movant's claims against the Debtors, including the Administrative Claim.

Movant's Claim Is Not a Postpetition Claim

14. With respect to product liability and warranty claims, such as Movant's potential claim, the main inquiry is at what point the claim arose. Movant cannot establish that his claim arose postpetition. Section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code defines "claim" as

(A) right to payment, whether or not such right is reduced to judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured, or unsecured; or

(B) right to an equitable remedy for breach of performance if such breach gives rise to payment, whether or not such right to an equitable remedy is reduced to judgment, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, secured, or unsecured.

11 U.S.C. § 101(5).

15. In the Second Circuit, a claim will be deemed to have arisen prepetition when it arises out of a prepetition relationship recognized in the law of contracts or torts. *See LTV Steel Co. v. Shalala (In re Chateaugay Corp.)*, 53 F.3d 478, 497 (2d Cir. 1995) (“A claim will be deemed pre-petition when it arises out of a relationship recognized in . . . the law of contracts or torts.”); *West v. WorldCom, Inc.*, No. 06 Civ. 0748, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 83924, at *6-7 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 14, 2007) (“Claims arise before a petition when the parties are engaged in a pre-petition relationship recognized in contract or tort law.”).

16. If a relationship exists prepetition, but the liability does not materialize until postpetition, the claim is not converted from a prepetition claim to an postpetition claim. Courts within this Circuit have concluded that a “prepetition ‘claim’ may well encompass a cause of action that, under state law, was not cognizable until after the bankruptcy petition was filed.” *In re Johns-Manville Corp.*, 57 B.R. 680, 690 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1986)). Under this “conduct test,” as it is often referred, a claim exists at the “time when the acts giving rise to the alleged liability were performed.” *Id.* In other words, if a debtor’s conduct forming the basis of liability occurred prepetition, a claim arises when the conduct occurred, even though the injury resulting from the conduct is not manifest at the commencement of the bankruptcy case. This test was adopted by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit in *Grady v. A.H. Robins Co.*, 839 F.2d 198 (4th Cir. 1988), *cert. dismissed* 487 U.S. 1260 (1988) (claimant who had Dalkon Shield inserted prepetition held claim that arose prepetition) and has been followed by courts within this Circuit. *See, e.g., In re Emons Industries, Inc.*, 220 B.R. 182, 193-94 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1998) (holding that tort victims injured by the debtor’s prepetition conduct are prepetition creditors where the conduct giving rise to the liability was the marketing by the debtor of the defective product years prior to the petition date) (citing *A.H. Robins*).

17. Because the conduct test by itself may define claims too broadly in certain circumstances, some courts use this test in conjunction with the prepetition relationship test, particularly in the context of product liability claims against a debtor manufacturer. For example, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, in *Epstein v. Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (In re Piper Aircraft Corp.)*, established what is known as the *Piper* test:

An individual has a § 101(5) claim against a debtor manufacturer if (i) events occurring before confirmation create a relationship, such as contact, exposure, impact, or privity, between the claimant and the debtor's product; and (ii) the basis for liability is the debtor's prepetition conduct in designing, manufacturing and selling the allegedly defective or dangerous product. The debtor's prepetition conduct gives rise to a claim to be administered in a case only if there is a relationship established before confirmation between an identifiable claimant or group of claimants and that prepetition conduct.

58 F.3d 1573, 1577 (11th Cir. 1995).

18. Under the *Piper* test, where, as here, an allegedly defective product was manufactured prepetition and a creditor purchased the allegedly defective product prepetition, a claim for damages suffered by the creditor postpetition would be a prepetition claim, rather than a postpetition claim, because (i) the debtor's conduct (i.e., manufacturing the allegedly defective product) occurred prepetition and (ii) the relationship between the debtor and the creditor was created prepetition (i.e., when the creditor purchased the allegedly defective product). *Cf. Dutcher v. Reorganized Pettibone Corp.*, 193 B.R. 667 (S.D.N.Y. 1996) (manufacturer of forklift was not liable for injury to plaintiff three years after confirmation of manufacturer's plan of reorganization because confirmation discharged manufacturer of any responsibilities).

19. In this case, the relevant relationship arose in 2004 when General Motors Corporation sold the vehicle in question to Mr. Shostack's predecessor in interest. Based on the

foregoing, Movant's potential claim against the Debtors arising out of his prepetition purchase of a General Motors vehicle is a prepetition claim, not a postpetition claim. Movant had a prepetition relationship with the Debtors because he purchased the General Motors vehicle prior to the Commencement Date. Moreover, the Debtors' conduct in designing, manufacturing, and selling the vehicle occurred prior to the Commencement Date. Further, the service bulletins Movant relies upon as evidence of the Debtors' purported knowledge of the alleged transmission defects on the 2004 Chevrolet Malibu were issued well before the Commencement Date. Finally, in the Complaint, Movant has conceded that he learned of the alleged defects prior to the Commencement Date. That the Movant's costs of repair were not incurred until after the Commencement Date does not convert this prepetition claim to a postpetition claim.

Movant's Claim Is Not an Administrative Expense

20. Movant cannot establish that his claim rises to administrative expense priority. Statutory priorities, such as administrative expenses, are narrowly construed in the Second Circuit because of the fundamental bankruptcy goal of an orderly and equal distribution among creditors. *See generally Trustees of the Amalgamated Ins. Fund v. McFarlin's, Inc.*, 789 F.2d 98, 100 (2d Cir. 1986) ("Because the presumption in bankruptcy cases is that the debtor's limited resources will be equally distributed among his creditors, statutory priorities are narrowly construed."); *In re Old Carco LLC*, Ch. 11 Case No. 09 B 50002, 2010 WL 22426 at *6 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Jan. 5, 2010) ("Strictly construing the terms 'actual' and 'necessary' minimizes administrative expense claims, thereby preserving the estate for the benefit of all creditors. If claims not intended to have priority were afforded such, the value of the priority for those creditors Congress intended to prefer would be diluted.") (citations omitted); *In re Ames Dep't Stores, Inc.*, 306 B.R. 43, 54 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2004) (observing basic principles in Second

Circuit that “grants of administrative expense priority cut against the general goal in bankruptcy law to distribute limited debtor assets equally among similarly situated creditors” and that “statutory priorities, such as those resulting from administrative expense treatment, are narrowly construed”) (citing *McFarlin’s*).

21. Consistent with these well-established principles, priority status may be awarded only if the creditor’s claim is firmly based on a clear statutory purpose and the creditor has satisfied its burden of proof that its claim should override claims of similarly situated creditors. *See Nathanson v. NLRB*, 344 U.S. 25 (1952) (if one claimant is to be preferred over others, purpose should be clear from statute); *McFarlin’s*, 789 F.2d at 101 (same). Movant has not and cannot satisfy his burden.

22. The relevant statute is section 503(b)(1)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code, which provides that administrative expenses are the “actual, necessary costs and expenses of preserving the estate.” 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(A). Two elements must be satisfied for a debt to be given administrative expense status: (i) the expense must arise out of a transaction between the creditor and the debtor in possession and (ii) the creditor’s consideration for the expense must be both supplied to and beneficial to the debtor in possession in the operation of the business. *McFarlin’s*, 789 F.2d at 101; *Ames*, 306 B.R. at 55. Neither element exists here.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that the Court deny the Motion and the relief requested therein and grant the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
March 7, 2011

/s/ Joseph H. Smolinsky

Harvey R. Miller
Stephen Karotkin
Joseph H. Smolinsky

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

EXHIBIT A



UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		ADMINISTRATIVE PROOF OF CLAIM
<p>Name of Debtor (Check only one)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Motors Liquidation Company (f/k/a General Motors Corporation) 09-50026 (REG)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> MLCS, LLC (f/k/a Saturn, LLC) 09-50027 (REG)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> MLCS Distribution Corporation (f/k/a Saturn Distribution Corporation) 09-50028 (REG)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> MLC of Harlem, Inc. (f/k/a Chevrolet-Saturn of Harlem, Inc.) 09-13558 (REG)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Remediation and Liability Management Company, Inc. 09-50029 (REG)</p> <p>(subsidiary of General Motors Corporation)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Corporate Remediation Company, Inc. 09-50030 (REG)</p> <p>(subsidiary of General Motors Corporation)</p>		FILED - 70874 MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY E/K/A GENERAL MOTORS CORP SDNY # 09-50026 (REG)
<p>The deadline for each person or entity (including, without limitation, individuals, partnerships, corporations, joint ventures, governmental entities, and trusts) to file a proof of claim for certain administrative expenses against the Debtor is: (i) on or before February 14, 2011 at 5 00 p.m. (Eastern Time), with respect to administrative expenses arising between June 1, 2009 and January 31, 2011, and (ii) the date that is thirty (30) days after the Effective Date at 5 00 p.m. (Eastern Time), with respect to administrative expenses arising between February 1, 2011 and the Effective Date.</p>		ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM
Name of Creditor (The person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property) DAVE SHOSTACK	<input type="checkbox"/> Check box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to your claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.	I was never named in bankruptcy case when GM filed bankruptcy in June 2009
Name and address where notices should be sent DAVE SHOSTACK 4 SUTTONWOOD DR COMMACK NY 11725	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check box if you have never received any notices from the bankruptcy court in this case.	
Telephone Number (631) 864-2656	<input type="checkbox"/> Check box if the address differs from the address on the envelope sent to you by the court.	
Last four digits of account or other number by which creditor identifies debtor	Check here <input type="checkbox"/> replaces a previously filed claim, dated _____ if this claim <input type="checkbox"/> amends	
<p>1. Basis for Claim</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Goods sold <input type="checkbox"/> Retiree benefits as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 1114(a)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Services performed <input type="checkbox"/> Wages, salaries, and compensation (fill out below)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Money loaned</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Personal injury/wrongful death</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Taxes</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Defective transmission & Car Rental</p> <p>potential costs associated with</p> <p>Last four digits of SS# _____</p> <p>Unpaid compensation for services performed from _____ to _____ (date) (date)</p>		
<p>2. Date debt was incurred (must be on or after June 1, 2009) 10/21/2009 (see estimate)</p> <p>3. If court judgement, date obtained _____</p>		
<p>4. Total Amount of Administrative Claim \$ 30,150.31 plus interest & court costs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach itemized statement of all interest or additional charges.</p>		
<p>5. Brief Description of Administrative Expense Claim (attach any additional information) If court decides to bill me for filing fees</p> <p>6. Credits All payments made on this claim have been credited and deducted for the purpose of making this proof of claim.</p>		
<p>7. Supporting Documents: Attach copies of supporting document, such as promissory notes, contracts, security agreements, and evidence of perfection of liens. DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS.</p> <p>8. This Administrative Proof of Claim <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is the first filed proof of claim evidencing the claim asserted herein. <input type="checkbox"/> supplements a proof of claim filed on or about _____ <input type="checkbox"/> replaces/supersedes a proof of claim filed on _____</p>		
<p>9. Date-Stamped Copy: To receive an acknowledgement of the filing to your claim, enclose a stamped, self-addressed envelope and copy of this proof of claim.</p>		
Date 2/9/11	Sign and print the name and title, if any, of the creditor or other person authorized to file this claim (attach copy of power of attorney, if any) Dave Shostack Dave Shostack	THIS SPACE IS FOR COURT USE ONLY
<p>Penalty for presenting fraudulent claim: Fine up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152 and 3571</p>		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING ADMINISTRATIVE PROOF OF CLAIM

The instructions and definitions below are general explanations of the law. In particular cases or circumstances, there may be exceptions to these general rules.

— DEFINITIONS —

Administrative Bar Date

The deadline for each person or entity (including, without limitation, individuals, partnerships, corporations, joint ventures, governmental entities, and trusts) to file a proof of claim for certain administrative expenses against the Debtor is (i) on or before February 14, 2011 at 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) with respect to administrative expenses arising between June 1, 2009 and January 31, 2011, and (ii) the date that is thirty (30) days after the Effective Date at 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time), with respect to administrative expenses arising between February 1, 2011 and the Effective Date.

Administrative Expense Claims

The claims described in section 503 and 507 of title 11 of the United States Code. Among other things, these sections provide that certain types of claims are entitled to administrative expense priority including, without limitation: (i) the actual, necessary costs and expenses of preserving the estate including wages, salaries, or commissions for services rendered after the commencement of the bankruptcy case, (ii) certain taxes and penalties related thereto, (iii) compensation and reimbursement of certain officers, (iv) the actual, necessary expenses incurred by (a) certain creditors, (b) a creditor, an indenture trustee, an equity security holder, or a committee representing any such entities, in making a substantial contribution to a debtor's chapter 11 case, (c) a custodian, (d) members of certain committees if incurred in the performance of the duties of such committees, and (v) compensation for services rendered by an indenture trustee.

Administrative Proof of Claim

A form filed with the clerk of the bankruptcy court where the bankruptcy case was filed, to tell the bankruptcy court how much the Debtor owes an Administrative Expense Creditor for Administrative Expense Claims.

Debtors

The Debtors in these cases are (i) Motors Liquidation Company, (ii) MLCS, LLC (f/k/a Saturn LLC), (iii) MI CS Distribution Corporation (f/k/a Saturn Distribution Corporation), (iv) MLC of Harlem, Inc. (f/k/a Chevrolet-Saturn of Harlem, Inc.), (v) Remediation and Liability Management Company, Inc., and (vi) Environmental Corporate Remediation Company, Inc.

Effective Date

The Effective Date is the business day on or after the confirmation date of the Debtors' Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan, dated December 2010. The Debtors shall file a notice of the Effective Date with the Bankruptcy Court and with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEMS TO BE COMPLETED ON ADMINISTRATIVE PROOF OF CLAIM FORM

Name of Debtor and Case Number

Provide the name of the applicable Debtor and its corresponding case number.

Information about Administrative Expense Creditor

Complete the section giving the name, address and telephone number of the Administrative Expense Creditor to whom the Debtor owes money or property, and the Debtor's account number, if any. If anyone else has already filed a proof of claim relating to this debt, if you never received notices from the bankruptcy court about this case, if your address differs from that to which the court sent notice, or if this proof of claim replaces or changes a proof of claim that was already filed, check the appropriate box on the form.

1. Basis for Administrative Expense Claim

Check the type of debt for which the proof of claim is being filed. If the type of debt is not listed, check "Other" and briefly describe the type of debt. If you were an employee of the Debtors, fill in the last four digits of your social security number and the dates of work for which you were not paid.

2. Date Debt Incurred

Fill in the date when the debt first was owed by the Debtor.

3. Court Judgments

If you have a court judgment for this debt, state the date the court entered the judgment.

4. Total Amount of Administrative Expense Claim

Fill in the amount of the entire claim. If interest or other charges in addition to the principal amount of the claim are included, check the appropriate place on the form and attach an itemization of the interest and charges.

5. Brief Description of Administrative Expense Claim

6. Credits

By signing this Administrative Proof of Claim, you are stating under oath that in calculating the amount of your Administrative Expense Claim you have given the Debtor credit for all payments received from the Debtor.

7. Supporting Documents

You must attach to this proof of claim form copies of documents that show the Debtor owes the debt claimed or, if the documents are too lengthy, a summary of those documents. If documents are not available, you must attach an explanation of why they are not available.

All Administrative Proofs of Claim must be **received on or after the Administrative Bar Date**, at the following address (whichever is applicable):

If by overnight courier or hand delivery to

The Garden City Group, Inc.
Attn: Motors Liquidation Company Claims Processing
5151 Blazer Parkway, Suite A
Dublin, Ohio 43017

If by first-class mail, to

The Garden City Group, Inc.
Attn: Motors Liquidation Company
P.O. Box 9386
Dublin, Ohio 43017-4286

Or if by hand delivery to

United States Bankruptcy Court, S.D.N.Y.
One Bowling Green
Room 534
New York, New York 10004

Please be advised that Administrative Proofs of Claim may not be delivered by facsimile, telecopy transmission, or electronic mail transmission. Administrative Proofs of Claim shall be considered timely filed only if actually received by the Debtors' claims agent, The Garden City Group, Inc., or by the Court, on or before the Administrative Bar Date.

AUTOFUSION

334 W. JERICHO TPKE

HUNTINGTON, NY 11743

Shop Phone: (631) 351-5755

Fax: (631) 351-5753

Email: TRANS726@AOL.COM

Web Address: WWW.AUTOFUSIONNY.COM

Estimate

391

Estimate Ref #391

Date Printed 10/21/2009

Printed Time 4:58 pm

DMV# 7106666

Est/Rat

AUTOFUSION

Time Promised

SHOSTACK, DAVE

2004 CHEVROLET MALIBU CLASSIC L4 2.2L 2198CC 134CID FI GAS N F L61

VIN 1G1ND52FX41M653780

License: ETJ2358

Mileage In 25,811

Date Written 10/21/2009

Unit # 4745E

Mileage Out 25,812

Written By DENNIS LICATA

DOM 0304

Save Old Parts No

Job Name	Description	Technician	Qty	List	Extended
Job #1	MAINTENANCE				
Sublet	Work Requested - MAINTENANCE		1.00	2,072.37	2,072.37
Work Performed	TRANSAXLE ASSEMBLY				
Labor RATE 1	Work Requested - MAINTENANCE		6.00	80.00	480.00
Work Performed	LABOR FOR REMOVING AND REINSTALLING TRANSAXLE				
Sublet	Work Requested - MAINTENANCE		10.00	3.75	37.50
Work Performed	TRANSMISSION FLUID				
Job Total					2,589.87

Payment Date	Type	Method	Amount
Payment Totals:			

Parts \$0.00

Labor \$480.00

Sublet \$2,109.87

Misc \$0.00

Hazmat \$0.00

Supplies \$0.00

Tax \$223.38

Invoice Total \$2,813.25

THANK YOU

I hereby authorize the above repair work to be done along with the necessary material and hereby grant you and/or your employees permission to operate the car or truck herein described on streets, highways or elsewhere for the purpose of testing and/or inspection. An express mechanic's lien is hereby acknowledged on above car or truck to secure the amount of repairs thereto.

Authorized By

Date

Time

Reservation Detail

Page 1 of 1

02/01/2011

FULLSIZE

Reservation: 8YRXYN

Date Taken:

By:

Origin: BRANCH

Vehicle	
Car Class:	FULLSIZE
Rate Quoted:	\$38 99/DAY \$193 99/WEEK \$752 99/MONTH
Specials:	
Mileage Charge:	NO CHARGE
Preferences:	
Authorization	
Status:	
Car Class:	
Auth Amount:	
# of Days:	
Max Per Day:	
Total Max Amount:	
% Auth.	

Product/Services	
DAMAGE WAIVER	\$8 99/DAY
PAI	\$2 50/DAY
SUPPLEMENTAL LIABILITY PROTECTION 2	\$13 80/DAY
Authorization	

Pick Up/Return	
Pick Up Date:	02/01/2011
Pick Up Time:	
Pick Up Group:	A0024_ELAC_ LLC
Pick Up Branch:	COMMACK 24A3 189 COMMACK RD COMMACK,NY 117253443
Pick Up Method:	
Pick Up Location:	
Directions:	
Return Date:	02/03/2011
Return Time:	
Return Group:	A0024_ELAC_ LLC
Return Branch:	COMMACK 24A3 189 COMMACK RD COMMACK,NY 117253443
Return Method:	
Return Location:	

Renter Information	
	Home:
	Work:
NY	Other:

Bill-to
Rental Type:
Claim Type:
Claim/Pol/PO/RO:
Insured Name:

Shop
Renters Vehicle:

Flight Information		
Airline:	Flight:	Terminal:
Arrival Date:	Arrival Time:	

2/1/2011

CERTIFIED MAIL™

1. *Introduction*
 2. *Background*
 3. *Methodology*
 4. *Results*
 5. *Discussion*
 6. *Conclusion*
 7. *References*
 8. *Appendix*
 9. *Tables*
 10. *Figures*
 11. *Supplementary Materials*
 12. *Notes*
 13. *Abbreviations*
 14. *Conflicts of Interest*
 15. *Acknowledgments*
 16. *Author Contributions*
 17. *Funding*
 18. *Data Availability Statement*
 19. *References*
 20. *Appendix*
 21. *Tables*
 22. *Figures*
 23. *Supplementary Materials*
 24. *Notes*
 25. *Abbreviations*
 26. *Conflicts of Interest*
 27. *Acknowledgments*
 28. *Author Contributions*
 29. *Funding*
 30. *Data Availability Statement*
 31. *References*
 32. *Appendix*
 33. *Tables*
 34. *Figures*
 35. *Supplementary Materials*
 36. *Notes*
 37. *Abbreviations*
 38. *Conflicts of Interest*
 39. *Acknowledgments*
 40. *Author Contributions*
 41. *Funding*
 42. *Data Availability Statement*
 43. *References*
 44. *Appendix*
 45. *Tables*
 46. *Figures*
 47. *Supplementary Materials*
 48. *Notes*
 49. *Abbreviations*
 50. *Conflicts of Interest*
 51. *Acknowledgments*
 52. *Author Contributions*
 53. *Funding*
 54. *Data Availability Statement*
 55. *References*
 56. *Appendix*
 57. *Tables*
 58. *Figures*
 59. *Supplementary Materials*
 60. *Notes*
 61. *Abbreviations*
 62. *Conflicts of Interest*
 63. *Acknowledgments*
 64. *Author Contributions*
 65. *Funding*
 66. *Data Availability Statement*
 67. *References*
 68. *Appendix*
 69. *Tables*
 70. *Figures*
 71. *Supplementary Materials*
 72. *Notes*
 73. *Abbreviations*
 74. *Conflicts of Interest*
 75. *Acknowledgments*
 76. *Author Contributions*
 77. *Funding*
 78. *Data Availability Statement*
 79. *References*
 80. *Appendix*
 81. *Tables*
 82. *Figures*
 83. *Supplementary Materials*
 84. *Notes*
 85. *Abbreviations*
 86. *Conflicts of Interest*
 87. *Acknowledgments*
 88. *Author Contributions*
 89. *Funding*
 90. *Data Availability Statement*
 91. *References*
 92. *Appendix*
 93. *Tables*
 94. *Figures*
 95. *Supplementary Materials*
 96. *Notes*
 97. *Abbreviations*
 98. *Conflicts of Interest*
 99. *Acknowledgments*
 100. *Author Contributions*
 101. *Funding*
 102. *Data Availability Statement*
 103. *References*
 104. *Appendix*
 105. *Tables*
 106. *Figures*
 107. *Supplementary Materials*
 108. *Notes*
 109. *Abbreviations*
 110. *Conflicts of Interest*
 111. *Acknowledgments*
 112. *Author Contributions*
 113. *Funding*
 114. *Data Availability Statement*
 115. *References*
 116. *Appendix*
 117. *Tables*
 118. *Figures*
 119. *Supplementary Materials*
 120. *Notes*
 121. *Abbreviations*
 122. *Conflicts of Interest*
 123. *Acknowledgments*
 124. *Author Contributions*
 125. *Funding*
 126. *Data Availability Statement*
 127. *References*
 128. *Appendix*
 129. *Tables*
 130. *Figures*
 131. *Supplementary Materials*
 132. *Notes*
 133. *Abbreviations*
 134. *Conflicts of Interest*
 135. *Acknowledgments*
 136. *Author Contributions*
 137. *Funding*
 138. *Data Availability Statement*
 139. *References*
 140. *Appendix*
 141. *Tables*
 142. *Figures*
 143. *Supplementary Materials*
 144. *Notes*
 145. *Abbreviations*
 146. *Conflicts of Interest*
 147. *Acknowledgments*
 148. *Author Contributions*
 149. *Funding*
 150. *Data Availability Statement*
 151. *References*
 152. *Appendix*
 153. *Tables*
 154. *Figures*
 155. *Supplementary Materials*
 156. *Notes*
 157. *Abbreviations*
 158. *Conflicts of Interest*
 159. *Acknowledgments*
 160. *Author Contributions*
 161. *Funding*
 162. *Data Availability Statement*
 163. *References*
 164. *Appendix*
 165. *Tables*
 166. *Figures*
 167. *Supplementary Materials*
 168. *Notes*
 169. *Abbreviations*
 170. *Conflicts of Interest*
 171. *Acknowledgments*
 172. *Author Contributions*
 173. *Funding*
 174. *Data Availability Statement*
 175. *References*
 176. *Appendix*
 177. *Tables*
 178. *Figures*
 179. *Supplementary Materials*
 180. *Notes*
 181. *Abbreviations*
 182. *Conflicts of Interest*
 183. *Acknowledgments*
 184. *Author Contributions*
 185. *Funding*
 186. *Data Availability Statement*
 187. *References*
 188. *Appendix*
 189. *Tables*
 190. *Figures*
 191. *Supplementary Materials*
 192. *Notes*
 193. *Abbreviations*
 194. *Conflicts of Interest*
 195. *Acknowledgments*
 196. *Author Contributions*
 197. *Funding*
 198. *Data Availability Statement*
 199. *References*
 200. *Appendix*
 201. *Tables*
 202. *Figures*
 203. *Supplementary Materials*
 204. *Notes*
 205. *Abbreviations*
 206. *Conflicts of Interest*
 207. *Acknowledgments*
 208. *Author Contributions*
 209. *Funding*
 210. *Data Availability Statement*
 211. *References*
 212. *Appendix*
 213. *Tables*
 214. *Figures*
 215. *Supplementary Materials*
 216. *Notes*
 217. *Abbreviations*
 218. *Conflicts of Interest*
 219. *Acknowledgments*
 220. *Author Contributions*
 221. *Funding*
 222. *Data Availability Statement*
 223. *References*
 224. *Appendix*
 225. *Tables*
 226. *Figures*
 227. *Supplementary Materials*
 228. *Notes*
 229. *Abbreviations*
 230. *Conflicts of Interest*
 231. *Acknowledgments*
 232. *Author Contributions*
 233. *Funding*
 234. *Data Availability Statement*
 235. *References*
 236. *Appendix*
 237. *Tables*
 238. *Figures*
 239. *Supplementary Materials*
 240. *Notes*
 241. *Abbreviations*
 242. *Conflicts of Interest*
 243. *Acknowledgments*
 244. *Author Contributions*
 245. *Funding*
 246. *Data Availability Statement*
 24

FCM LETTER
Date of sale 11775
02/09/11
02 1P00
APC

U S POSTAGE
\$5.54

FC0082400104787

The Garden City Car &
Motor Liquidation Company
Po Box 4386
Dublin, OH 43017-4386

